

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/089,025

Applicant(s)

HOOLEY ET AL

Examiner

JASON R. KURR

Art Unit

2614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JASON R. KURR

(3) _____

(2) Scott Powell

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 March 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 224, 232 and 239

Identification of prior art discussed: Greenberger (US 5,870,484) Gorike (US 4,256,922) Franssen (US 4,399,328)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the arguments submitted on March 9, 2009, pertaining to the combination of Greenberger, Gorike and Franssen. The Examiner agrees that such a combination would not teach the limitations of the independent claims 224, 232 and 239. The Final Rejection dated December 9, 2009 has been withdrawn. The claims are now allowed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See summary or record of interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.